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Neuromorphic chips exploit architectural parallelism, event-driven computing
- Temporal sparsity improves power efficiency, parallelism improves latency

Intel’s Loihi chip is a great tool for analysing the benefits of neuromorphic HW
- Software from ABR can be used to run high-level applications on Loihi
- Specifically, we can convert arbitrary DNNs to functionally comparable SNNs.
Some Specifics

- **Goal**: compare Loihi’s speed, efficiency to conventional HW for DNN inferences
  - Aim is to provide rigorous, quantitative assessment of chip performance

- **Task**: keyword spotting (small scale speech recognition)
  - Use a two-layer feedforward neural network to recognize the phrase “aloha”

- **Metrics**: inference speed, dynamic power consumption, energy cost / inference
  - Measure these on different devices running same network with same data

- **Devices**: CPU, GPU, Nvidia Jetson, Movidius NCS, Loihi Research Chip
  - Note that comparison is between production and research devices
The Highlights

- **Same 2-layer architecture on all HW**
  - Trained in Nengo DL on Loihi
  - Trained in TensorFlow elsewhere (identical params across devices)
The Highlights

- Spiking DNN on Loihi maintains near-equivalent performance characteristics
  - Training methodology applies to arbitrary DNNs, nothing specific to ASR

- Dataset collected from 96 speakers using Amazon’s Mechanical Turk platform
  - ~2000 training utterances split 3:1 between positive and negative examples
  - 192 test utterances, 1 positive and 1 negative example per speaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>True Positive (%)</th>
<th>False Negative (%)</th>
<th>True Negative (%)</th>
<th>False Positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TensorFlow</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nengo Loihi</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Speech Recognition Basics

For an input, like speech

Predict a sequence of tokens

Merge repeats, drop ε

Final output

Animation Credit: https://distill.pub/2017/ctc/
1. Get windowed feature inputs (MFCCs) paired with target outputs (characters)

```
“Aloha”
```

2. Train rate model (with differentiable LIFs) to match feature inputs to target outputs.

3. Save the network parameters, swap in spiking LIFs, and port onto Loihi (SNN only)
1. Estimate idle power consumption on each device (averaged over 15 min)

1. Log power readings at fixed interval during runtime (usually every 200ms)

1. Estimate dynamic power by subtracting idle baseline from logged readings

1. Calculate average logging interval and number of inferences per interval

1. Calculate average energy cost per inference from (3) and (4)

(Energy profiling tools: s-tui, nvidia-smi, power meters for non-CPU/GPU devices)
Benchmarking Methodology

Measurements of power consumption and inference speed tell us everything
- Joules per second (W) / inferences per second = joules per inference

Methodology is highly conservative, designed to be generous to other devices

(Note that batchsize=1)
Results for Online Inference

Dynamic Energy Cost Per Inference (batchsize = 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Joules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOIHI</td>
<td>1x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOVIDIUS</td>
<td>5.3x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JETSON</td>
<td>20.5x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>23.2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU</td>
<td>109.1x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results for the Effects of Batching
Scaling Network Size with Fixed I/O

- Helps us isolate relative contributions of I/O and compute to energy consumption
- Scaled network is randomly parameterized with fixed weight distribution
Results for Scaled Networks

Average Dynamic Power

- MOVIDIUS
- LOIHI

Average Inference Speed

- MOVIDIUS
- LOIHI

Average Cost Per Inference

- MOVIDIUS
- LOIHI
Discussion

- Inference speed and dynamic power change very slowly w/ scaling on Loihi!
  - This is architectural parallelism and event-driven computing at work.

- I/O bottlenecks overall inference speed, so cost/inference can potentially shrink
  - Doesn’t look like spike trafficking is a main source of energy consumption

- Important to note that comparison is between research and production devices
  - Lots of room for further analysis and benchmarking on other applications

- Methods generalize to arbitrary deep networks, nothing application specific
  - Plenty of reasons to be optimistic about Loihi as platform for low-power DL
Thanks for listening!